

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/717,379	Applicant(s) FRANKEL ET AL.	
	Examiner Anu Ramana	Art Unit 3775	

All Participants:

(1) Katharina Schuster.

(2) Anu Ramana.

Status of Application: Non-Final Rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 December 2009

Time: 6:50 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Potential rejections under 35 USC 112 second paragraph of claims 24, 25, 31, 34, 35, 38, and 40

Claims discussed:

24, 25, 31, 34, 35, 38 and 40

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Minor amendments to claims 24, 25, 31, 34, 35, 38 and 40 were discussed and agreed upon thereby placing the instant application in condition for allowance. See attached Examiner's Amendment for details.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)